IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RADEMAR Applicant:

Burton

Serial No.:

08/657,725

Filing Date:

05/03/96

For:

Toy Clapper

Attorney Docket No.: 64741-002

Group No.

3301

Examiner

Carlson

JUL 2 1

Paper No. 3

PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.181

Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is a petition to withdraw notice of abandonment (Paper No. 5) issued April 29, 1998.

On November 20, 1997, the undersigned prepared and filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office an Amendment under 37 CFR 1.111 (Paper No. 3) see attachment A.

In Paper No. 3, the information for the Certificate of Mailing was inadvertently omitted, thereby entitling the undersigned only to the date whereby the United States Patent and Trademark Office received the Amendment not the date whereby the Amendment was placed in the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postage pre-paid.

Because the undersigned was not entitled to the November 20, 1997 filing date of the Amendment, the undersigned in effect owed a one-month extension of time at the time the Amendment was in fact received by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, some four (4) days after the undersigned mailed the Amendment (November 24, 1997); see stamped postcard attached hereto as Exhibit B).

The undersigned was never notified that the Certificate of Mailing was not executed nor was the undersigned ever notified that a one-month extension of time was owing.

On May 1, 1998, the undersigned received Paper No. 5 (see Attachment C), which is a Notice of Abandonment indicating that the case had gone abandoned due to Applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office Letter mailed August 20, 1997. The Notice of Abandonment cited that a response with the Certificate of Mailing of transmission was received on November 24, 1998, which is after the expiration of the reputed response.

The undersigned understands that it is the practice of many of the Art Units to notify the attorney of record if a portion of the response is not correct. Or at least give the attorney of record a chance to correct the omission before the case goes abandoned.

The undersigned respectfully petitions the withdrawal of notice of abandonment in this case and requests that this case be passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph V. Coppola, St// Registration No. 33,373

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 1533 N. Woodward Ave., Suite 140

Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304

(248) 594-0650

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

R0031500

ATTACHMENT A





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Burton

Serial No.:

08/657,725

Group No.

3301

Filing Date:

05/03/96

Examiner

Carlson

For:

Toy Clapper

Attorney Docket No.: 64741-002

Paper No. 3

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed August 20, 1997 (Paper No. 2), please amend the above-identified application as follows:

In The Specification

Page 3, line 8, please delete "mylar" and insert therefor --polyester film. commonly known by its trademark Mylar®--; line 9, delete "mylar" and insert therefor -- Mylar®--.

Page 4, line 4, delete "mylar" and insert therefor --Mylar®--; line 5, both occurrences, please cancel "mylar" and insert therefor --Mylar®--; line 7, both occurrences, please cancel "mylar" and insert therefor --Mylar®--; line 9, please delete "mylar" and insert therefor --Mylar®--; line 11, please delete "mylar" and insert therefor --Mylar®--; line 12, please delete "mylar" and insert therefor --Mylar®--; line 13, please delete "mylar" and insert therefor -- Mylar®--.

In The Claims

Please cancel claim 2.

Please amend claims 1, 3, 5 and 9 as follows:

1. (First Amended) A toy, comprising:

an elongated central member having first and second ends and a longitudinal axis

a sliding collar capturing said central member and adapted to slide freely along said central member

a plurality of resilient rib elements each having first and second ends, wherein said first end of each resilient rib element is fixed to said central member and wherein said second end of each resilient rib element is fixed to said sliding collar, wherein each said resilient rib element urges said sliding collar away from said first end of each resilient rib element, and wherein each said resilient rib element is adapted to flex radially outwardly with respect to said longitudinal axis of said elongated central member,

a handle fastened to said elongated central member wherein said handle includes a surface which forms a stop element for limiting the sliding movement of said sliding collar.

- 3. (First Amended) The toy of claim 1, wherein said resilient rib elements are comprised of polyester film [mylar].
- 5. (First Amended) The toy of claim 1, wherein said elongated member includes an inner chamber wherein said inner chamber includes a plurality of rattling elements.
- 9. (First Amended) The toy of claim 1, wherein each said rib element is generally <u>planar</u> [planer].

Please add new claim 11 as follows:

11. (New) A toy, comprising:

an elongated central member having first and second ends and a longitudinal axis

a sliding collar capturing said central member and adapted to slide freely along said central member

a plurality of resilient rib elements each having first and second ends, wherein said first end of each resilient rib element is fixed to said central member and wherein said second end of each resilient rib element is fixed to said sliding collar, wherein each said resilient rib element urges said sliding collar away from said first end of each resilient rib element, and wherein each said resilient rib element is adapted to flex radially outwardly with respect to said longitudinal axis of said elongated central member,

wherein each said resilient rib element includes a creased mid-portion.

REMARKS

Claim 2 has been cancelled, and claims 1, 3, 5 and 9 have been amended. New claim 11 has been added. Accordingly, claims 1 and 3-11 remain under prosecution in this application.

Mylar®

The Examiner has correctly pointed out that Mylar® is a trade name and was not so treated in the application as filed. Amendments have been made to correct the use of Mylar® in this application and to properly designate it as a registered trademark.

Claim 1 has been amended to include "a handle fastened to said elongated central member wherein said handle includes a surface which forms a stop element for

limiting the sliding movement of said sliding collar." The Examiner has not set forth any basis for combining the rhythm stick invention set out in Canadian Patent No. 640,801 with the novelty device of the '169 reference. Why is it obvious to combine these two references? Where is the motivation found within these two references to combine a musical instrument (rhythm stick) with a novelty device? Moreover, even if there is motivation to combine these two references, the handle 22 element of the present invention serves as the stop element for limiting the travel of collar 14. In the Canadian reference, knob 2 is equivalent to handle 22 of the present invention; however, knob 2 does not have any surface which functions as a stop element. Rather, annular clapper member 11 is fixed to stick 1 at a predetermined point 12 and functions as the stop element in the '801 Canadian reference. Incorporating the stop element into handle 22 is advantageous because it reduces material costs (a separate stop element is eliminated) and costs associated with material handling.

New Claim 11

New claim 11 incorporates the limitations set forth in originally submitted claim 1 and originally submitted claim 8. The Examiner admits that none of the references show a rib element having creased midportion but concludes that it is a mere choice of design providing only a fanciful decorative effect. The undersigned respectfully disagrees. One of the features of the present invention is the dynamics of the movement caused when handle 22 is oscillated. These dynamics are discussed on page 3, second full paragraph of the specification. The crease along the midsection of the ribs gives the plurality of resilient ribs a very distinctive look and dynamic response as handle 22 is rotated 32 and/or oscillated 24. This dynamic response forms an important aspect of the present invention and cuts to the heart of the visual effect presented during use.

R0017179

In view of the above arguments, the undersigned believes that claims 1 and 11, and their respective dependent claims are now in a condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph V. Coppola, Sal Registration No. 33,373

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 1533 N. Woodward Ave., Suite 140 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304

(248) 594-0650

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that the deposited with the United Standard an envelope addressed to the 20231, on this day	ates Postal Service as firs Assistant Commissioner	for Patents, Washington	aid, i

ATTACHMENT B



Serial No.:	08/657, 725 Burton	_ Filed: <u>5/3</u> /	96	Attorney:JVC
Applicant:	Burton	Docket No.: _	04741-00	Date Mailed: 11/20/97
Title:1	oy Clapper		🗔 First Cla	ass Mail 🔲 Express Mail No
The followin	g was/were received in the U.S. Patent & Trac			
3. 4. 5	Filing Form for New Application* (in duplicate if charging deposit account). Originating in the U.S. National Phase under Chapter II Filing Form for Continuing Application (in duplicate if charging deposit account). Rule 60 (37 CFR § 1.60) Appl'n* FWC (37 CFR § 1.62) Application Provisional Application under: 37 CFR § 1.53* 37 CFR § 1.60* 37 CFR § 1.62 Continuation-in-Part (CIP) under: 37 CFR § 1.62 Continuation-in-Part (CIP) under: 37 CFR § 1.62 Continuation-in-Part (CIP) under: Claim(s) Sheets of Drawings Declaration/Power of Attorney Verified Stmt-Small Entity Status Executed Power of Attorney Priority Document(s) & cover sheet Assignment(s) & cover sheet Request to Approve Drawing Change, with Sheets Formal Drwgs w/Cover Sheet Request for Refund	OIPE ON REPORTED TO A PARTY OF THE PARTY OF	12.	Request for Corrected Filing Receipt Completion of Filing Requirements or Response to Notice of Informal Application Transmittal for Amendment (Response) Petition for Mo. Extension of Time (in duplicate if charging deposit account) Amendment (Response) Preliminary Amendment (Response) Response to Restriction Requirement or Requirement for Election of Species Information Disclosure Statement, with Form(s) PTO-1449 (sheets) and copies of references Affidavit or Declaration under 37 CFR § 1.131 § 1.132 Notice of Appeal Petition Letter Status Inquiry Issue Fee Transmittal Maintenance Fee Transmittal Separate post card(s) Check No for \$ Covering Item No(s). Other:





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

64741-002

QM11/0429

RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER SUITE 140 1533 N WOODWARD AVENUE BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 48304

ip-}}	EXAMINER	!	

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. - U.U.

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3712 04/29/98

DATE MAILED:

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

his	application is abandoned in view of:				
	Applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on 8/20/97 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on was received on				
	A response (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of <u>CM vasigned</u>) was received on <u>1 2 4 9 7</u> , which is after the expiration of the period for response (including a total extension of time of <u>C</u> month(s)) which expired on <u>11/20/91</u> .				
	A proposed response was received on, but it does not constitute a proper response to the final rejection.				
	(A proper response to a final rejection consists only of: a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; a Notice of Appeal; or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.62 (FWC).				
	☐ No response has been received.				
]	Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance.				
	☐ The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) was received on				
	☐ The submitted issue fee of \$ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$				
	☐ The issue fee has not been received				
Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability.					
	Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) were received on				
	☐ The proposed new formal drawings filed are not acceptable.				
	□ No proposed new formal drawings have been received.				
	The express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.62(g) in favor of the FWC application filed on				
	The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.				
	The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a) upon the filing of a continuing application.				
	The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on and because the period . for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.				
	The reason(s) below:				